## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORI	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Uriel Ernesto Lopez-Lopez	Case Number:	11-6009M	
present and w			ng was held on January 24, 2011. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		INDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a prep	ponderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the Un	endant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charge	fendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of		years imprisonment.	
The C at the time of t	the hearing in this matter, except as noted	I findings of the Pretrial in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defenda No condition or combination of condition	nt will flee.	e the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections for appeal. The document of the United States defended to the corrections of the corrections o	efendant is committed to the custody of th acility separate, to the extent practicable, fi efendant shall be afforded a reasonable of States or on request of an attorney for the C he United States Marshal for the purpose APPEALS A	e Attorney General or hir rom persons awaiting or oportunity for private cor Government, the person of an appearance in co ND THIRD PARTY RE	is/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nnection with a court proceeding. <b>LEASE</b>	
deliver a copy Court.	of the motion for review/reconsideration to	Pretrial Services at leas	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the Districtions of the counsel's responsibility to patify Protriet.	
Services suffice	ciently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	ne District Court to allow	idered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria v Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	ED this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 201	1.		
		du		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge